

children's levy

2024-25 Funding Process Planning & Timeline

Big Picture: Phases & Timeline

2023 Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	2024 Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	2025 Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall
Council intro me	etings										
	Develop, implement Community Engagement			Use result to shape \$ prioritie							
	Develop fund process		ling								
							Funding decisions	Grants start July 1, 202	_		



2024 Funding Round Planning

- March AC Meeting: Draft application questions and scoring criteria ready for review and feedback
- May Joint Allocation Comm/Community Council Work Session:
 Community engagement results and recommendations presented;
 questions and discussion
- June AC Meeting: Review and final approval of application including questions, scoring criteria and funding priorities for each program area
- September: Publish funding application
- December AC Meeting: Summary of applications received; review staff and community council recommendation process/criteria and funding decision process





children's levy

Community Council Update Allocation Committee, 12/11/23

2019-20 Funding Process: Feedback

REVIEWER SURVEY (54/65 reviewers)	APPLICANT SURVEY (44/131 Individuals)
+ 100% satisfied with experience as reviewer	+ 85% satisfied with PCL staff's communication throughout funding process- Q/A, score sheets, recommendations
- Most frequent mention for improvement: simplify application	- 20% dissatisfied with decision-making process: didn't understand Allocation Committee's rationale or process used to make decisions



Developing Application Template

- September: Council brainstormed key topics
 - Qualities of **organizations** for PCL grants
 - Information needed about **program services** that applicants want funded.
- October: staff cross-walked brainstormed topics to previous PCL funding application; overall alignment
- November: Council focused on simplifying application: delete, change, add from previous application



Organization Qualities

- Purpose/mission, history, current goals of the organization
- Clients, staff, board, community partnerships align with purpose/mission. Use of qualitative and quantitative data.
- Understands and responds to community needs. Methods for understanding their impact, and examples. Narrative and storytelling focus in this section.
- Fiscal responsibility of the organization.



Program Services

- Overall program plan: need, who will be served, engage community to offer the program, program activities and staffing to be funded by PCL.
 - Intensity of services to understand breadth vs. depth of services with number of clients to serve and costs.
- Equitable outcomes: intended impacts of program, and engage community to understand impacts
- Program Budget: simplify budget form and instructions;
 consider whether 15% administrative allowance is too low.



Application Template Considerations

- Racial equity, diversity, inclusion considerations in organization qualities and program activities.
- Definitions
 - racial equity, diversity, inclusion
 - Accessibility
 - being served
- Tables: tension of breadth/depth and technical barriers.
 Offer opportunities for narrative with tables.
- Program as "new" or "expansion of current work" or "continuing current work"
- Scoring criteria and point values still need to be developed



Developing Template: Next Steps

December/January: Staff draft application template and scoring criteria based on Council feedback. Send draft template and scoring criteria to potential applicants to gauge *understanding*, reasonableness, other feedback?

- current grantees (50)
- other community-based organizations (140+) including past applicants

February: Analyze feedback on template/scoring criteria. Present to Council for any other key feedback. Keep iterating template.

March - June: Present template to Allocation Committee. Make final refinements. Prep template for incorporating funding priorities (after community engagement results).



Developing Review Process

Overview 2019-20 review process

- 65 community volunteers, with stipends
- High satisfaction by reviewers
- Challenges with score variation

Staff asked Council to brainstorm pros/cons to 2 options:

- Continuing review by stipended volunteers
- Hiring a diverse cohort of 12- 15 contractors to review



Developing Review Process

Stipended Community Volunteer Pros

- builds awareness of PCL in the community
- increases community investment in PCL
- offers potential professional development opportunity to community members
- the stipend may attract people to participate who could use it and assure that the reviewers aren't only people who can afford to volunteer their time

Stipended Community Volunteer Cons

workload for staff managing volunteers, scoring variance



Developing Review Process

Cohort Short-term Contractor Pros

- Pay for training time and require longer, more intensive training
- Paying wages may ensure a diverse, representative group of reviewers
- Easier to convene smaller group of contractors to address score variation
- Paying wages may ensure contractors complete the review work

Short-term Contractor Cons

- potential biases of a small group of contractors with expertise in the youth/family services sector in Portland depending on people/organizations they know or have worked with
- reluctant to have process that favors "experts" as reviewers rather than community voice and perspective



Developing Review Process: Next Steps

Council requested more information for next meeting:

- Length of review period
- Training content and how it could reduce score variation
- Any feedback about the review process in applicant survey from 2019-20

Council to have deeper discussion, begin developing recommendations for type of review process to use.

Staff to bring recommendations to Allocation Committee once ready.

